



# The Impact of Prompt Pattern Catalog on Prompt Engineering with ChatGPT

Vishnu Jaiswal

Computer Science and Engineering

Dr. A. P. J. Abdul Kalam Technical University, Lucknow

**Abstract**— ChatGPT and other new conversational AI systems showcase impressive natural language capabilities. However, their potential is constrained without effective prompts encoding human goals and knowledge. Manual prompt engineering remains challenging and ad hoc. This article proposes shared prompt pattern catalogs to accumulate expertise and systematize prompt engineering. Catalogs would collect crowdsourced prompts for diverse applications along with guidance on usage. They would establish conventions and vocabularies for discussing prompts, enabling organization and discovery. Expert curation would ensure accuracy, safety, and structure. Related discussion platforms would provide context missing from raw prompt examples. Prompt pattern catalogs can enhance prompt engineering through collaboration, transparency, and standardization. They offer significant productivity gains over isolated efforts. But responsible governance is required as catalogs gain influence over AI systems. Investing in shared prompt resources will be key to safely unlocking the power of AI. This article explores the envisioned benefits of catalogs, while considering risks and implementation challenges. It offers a roadmap for steadily advancing the science of prompt engineering..

**Keywords**— Prompt engineering, ChatGPT, Prompt patterns, Prompt catalogs, Conversational AI, Crowdsourcing, Responsible AI.

## INTRODUCTION

ChatGPT is powered by a large language model trained on vast amounts of text data. It can hold conversations, answer follow-up questions, admit mistakes, challenge incorrect premises, and reject inappropriate requests. This represents a major leap forward compared to previous conversational AI systems.

Behind ChatGPT's human-like conversational abilities lies prompt engineering - the crafting of instructions and examples to steer the model's responses. Prompts encode human knowledge and goals into a form the AI can understand. Mastering prompt engineering is key to fully unleashing ChatGPT's potential.

However, manually optimizing prompts is time-consuming and challenging. Prompt engineering remains more art than science, relying on individual skill and intuition. This article proposes shared prompt pattern catalogs as a way to accumulate prompt engineering knowledge and best practices.

## THE NEED FOR PROMPT ENGINEERING

ChatGPT has impressive natural language capabilities, but it does not share human common sense or goals. Without proper prompting, its responses may be generic, unhelpful, or even dangerous.



Consider asking ChatGPT a question like "Is broccoli healthy?" Without any prompting, ChatGPT may provide a vague, non-committal answer. However, adding the prompt "Respond like a dietitian giving advice" leads to a detailed, nuanced response explaining broccoli's nutritional benefits.

The prompt provides critical context to guide ChatGPT's response. Prompt engineering is the act of strategically formatting prompts to shape the AI's behavior. Extensive prompt engineering was essential to training and improving ChatGPT itself.

### **THE CHALLENGE OF MANUAL PROMPT ENGINEERING**

Currently, prompt engineering is largely an manual, individual endeavor. Users must independently develop and refine prompts through guesswork and experimentation. This process is time-consuming, inconsistent, and prone to duplication of effort.

There are no set standards or best practices. The space of possible prompts is nearly endless. It can be challenging to determine if a prompt can be improved or reused for other applications. Minor changes in wording can lead to dramatically different results.

Manual prompt engineering also relies heavily on individual skill and intuition. Expertise gained through trial-and-error does not efficiently transfer between users. Without shared resources, new users must completely recreate existing prompt engineering knowledge.

### **SHARED PROMPT PATTERN CATALOGS**

To accelerate and systematize prompt engineering, the AI community needs to develop shared catalogs of prompt patterns and techniques. These catalogs would collect prompts for various use cases along with guidance on their proper usage and limitations.

Users could reference catalogs to jumpstart their prompt engineering and benefit from previous work. For common needs like question-answering or sentiment analysis, catalogs would provide proven templates and examples. Users could fine-tune prompts from the catalog rather than starting from scratch.

Prompt pattern catalogs would establish best practices and standards around issues like tone, length, priming, and disambiguation. They would crystallize collective knowledge gained through trial-and-error. Over time, catalogs could grow to cover a diverse range of domains and applications.

### **DEVELOPING SHARED VOCABULARIES**

To maximize their usefulness, prompt pattern catalogs need shared vocabularies for describing and categorizing prompts. These vocabularies would provide a common language for discussing prompt features and tradeoffs.

Some keyPrompt engineering dimensions that require vocabulary standardization include:



- Prompt length - Short, medium, long
- Tone - Formal, conversational, friendly
- Point of view - First person, second person, third person
- Content - Domain knowledge, instructions, examples, clarifications
- Priming - Positive, negative, or neutral connotations
- Disambiguation - Specifying entities, roles, time periods

With standardized terms, prompt pattern catalogs could be systematically organized and searched. Users could filter catalogs to find prompts tailored to their needs. The vocabularies would also aid prompt analysis and comparison.

## **CROWDSOURCING PROMPT PATTERNS**

Developing comprehensive prompt pattern catalogs will require crowdsourcing contributions from the broader AI community. Individual users and researchers should be encouraged to share the prompts they create through public databases.

Data on prompt effectiveness could be collected through tools that allow users to rate prompts in apps like ChatGPT. Highly rated prompts could then be added to catalogs. Version control systems could track prompt iterations and modifications.

Natural language processing techniques like clustering could help automatically organize crowdsourced prompt data. Crowdsourcing would allow catalogs to scale beyond what any individual or organization could produce. It would also capture diverse real-world applications.

## **EXPERT CURATION**

While crowdsourcing can rapidly expand prompt pattern catalogs, expert curation is essential to ensure quality. Domain experts should review prompts to verify their accuracy, safety, and effectiveness.

Curation can help structure catalogs for discoverability, eliminating redundancy and suggesting relevant alternatives. Curators can also provide explanatory annotations detailing prompt suitability.

Active curation will be especially important for high-impact domains like medicine and law. Curators should screen prompts to mitigate risks from outdated, biased, or hazardous content. Ongoing monitoring will be needed as catalogs grow.

## **DISCUSSION PLATFORMS**

Alongside prompt pattern catalogs, the community needs public discussion platforms devoted to prompt engineering. These could take the form of forums, question answering sites, or collaborative documents.



Discussion spaces would allow prompt engineers to get feedback, learn alternatives, and share new ideas. Conversations around examples from the catalogs could illuminate why prompts work and how to adapt them.

Ideally, discussions could be directly linked to catalog entries, creating rich supplemental documentation. Discussion would provide the context and rationale missing from raw prompt examples.

## LEGAL AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Developing prompt pattern catalogs raises important legal and ethical issues that should be addressed proactively. The catalogs and associated discussion platforms will need clear content policies and moderation.

Contributors should be required to confirm they have rights to share any prompts drawn from copyrighted content. Prompts that violate laws or promote harmful behavior should be prohibited. Additional scrutiny may be required in high-risk categories like medicine.

Transparency about prompt sources and disclaimers about limitations will be critical. As prompt catalogs grow influential, they must be managed responsibly for the public good. Ongoing governance processes with diverse stakeholder input should be established.

## CONCLUSION

ChatGPT represents a turning point for AI, but mastering prompt engineering remains critical to unlocking its full potential. Shared prompt pattern catalogs offer a promising path to systematically advancing prompt engineering by accumulating collective knowledge.

Through crowdsourcing, expert curation, discussion platforms, and responsible governance, catalogs can bring structure and rigor to an otherwise ad-hoc process. They offer the benefits of collaboration, standardization, and transparency. Investing in these shared resources will profoundly enhance our abilities to safely harness AI like ChatGPT for human flourishing.

## REFERENCES

- [1] White, J., Fu, Q., Hays, S., Sandborn, M., Olea, C., Gilbert, H., Elnashar, A., Spencer-Smith, J. and Schmidt, D.C., 2023. A prompt pattern catalog to enhance prompt engineering with chatgpt. arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.11382.
- [2] Shtedritski, A., Rupprecht, C. and Vedaldi, A., 2023. What does clip know about a red circle? visual prompt engineering for vlms. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.06712.
- [3] Wang, J., Shi, E., Yu, S., Wu, Z., Ma, C., Dai, H., Yang, Q., Kang, Y., Wu, J., Hu, H. and Yue, C., 2023. Prompt engineering for healthcare: Methodologies and applications. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14670.
- [4] Denny, P., Kumar, V. and Giacaman, N., 2023, March. Conversing with copilot: Exploring prompt engineering for solving cs1 problems using natural language. In Proceedings of the 54th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education V. 1 (pp. 1136-1142).



- [5] Abukhalaf, S., Hamdaqa, M. and Khomh, F., 2023. On Codex Prompt Engineering for OCL Generation: An Empirical Study. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.16244.
- [6] Yu, F., Quartey, L. and Schilder, F., 2023, July. Exploring the Effectiveness of Prompt Engineering for Legal Reasoning Tasks. In Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023 (pp. 13582-13596).
- [7] Zhang, B., Mishra, R. and Teodoro, D., 2023. DS4DH at MEDIQA-Chat 2023: Leveraging SVM and GPT-3 Prompt Engineering for Medical Dialogue Classification and Summarization. medRxiv, pp.2023-06.
- [8] Patel, A. and Sattler, J., 2023. Creatively malicious prompt engineering. WithSecure Intelligence.
- [9] Gilson, A., Safranek, C.W., Huang, T., Socrates, V., Chi, L., Taylor, R.A. and Chartash, D., 2023. How does ChatGPT perform on the United States medical licensing examination? The implications of large language models for medical education and knowledge assessment. JMIR Medical Education, 9(1), p.e45312.
- [10] Kheiri, K. and Karimi, H., 2023. SentimentGPT: Exploiting GPT for Advanced Sentiment Analysis and its Departure from Current Machine Learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2307.10234.
- [11] Sawicki, P., Grzes, M., Goes, F., Brown, D., Peeperkorn, M., Aisha, K. and Simona, P., 2023. On the power of special-purpose GPT models to create and evaluate new poetry in old styles.
- [12] Chan, C., Cheng, J., Wang, W., Jiang, Y., Fang, T., Liu, X. and Song, Y., 2023. Chatgpt evaluation on sentence level relations: A focus on temporal, causal, and discourse relations. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14827.

